Home Resources Contact Missouri Report Institutional Report Logout

Missouri's First Year Educator Survey

Missouri Report

Survey:		Beginning school year:	Ending school year:
○ Teacher	O Teacher's Principal	2022	2023 ❤
O Principal	Principal's Supervisor		ng school year" should be the calendar year
O Counselor	O Counselor's Supervisor	was Fall 2020 and Spring 2021, then the would be 2021. If you are running the	year of teaching/counseling/leadership ne "beginning school year" for that report report for that year of first-year oose the "ending school year" as 2021 as

Show Report

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 1 - Visionary Leadersh	ip					245	4.21	0.79
1. The principal was prepared to effectively communicate the vision, mission, and goals to all staff and stakeholders.	1%	4%	7%	49%	40%	245	4.24	0.79
2. The principal was prepared to lead the development of vision, mission, and goals that promote the success of all students.	1%	3%	10%	46%	40%	245	4.20	0.83
3. The principal was prepared to implement strategies to engage the school community in the school's vision, mission, and goals.	1%	4%	10%	47%	38%	245	4.19	0.82
Standard 2 - Instructional Leade	ership					246	4.23	0.68
4. The principal was prepared to establish a culture that promotes high levels of student learning.	0%	2%	9%	41%	48%	245	4.33	0.76
7. The principal was prepared to implement effective processes to identify unique strengths and needs of students.	0%	2%	7%	44%	46%	243	4.33	0.75
8. The principal was prepared to facilitate effective processes for identifying gaps between current outcomes and goals.	0%	3%	11%	48%	38%	245	4.19	0.78

The principal was prepared to use data and research to facilitate learning for all students.	0%	3%	13%	47%	36%	246 4.15	0.80
10. The principal was prepared to work with personnel to develop professional growth plans for improvement of student learning.	0%	4%	13%	46%	36%	246 4.13	0.82
13. The principal was prepared to guide the effective use of resources to support student learning.	1%	4%	9%	44%	43%	246 4.24	0.82
Standard 3 - Managerial Leadersh	nip					246 4.29	0.68
5. The principal was prepared to maintain a safe learning environment for the school community.	0%	1%	5%	40%	53%	245 4.44	0.68
11. The principal was prepared to facilitate effective evaluation processes.	1%	5%	8%	51%	35%	246 4.14	0.83
Standard 4 - Relational Leadershi	ip					246 4.34	0.63
6. The principal was prepared to establish a culture that nurtures positive relationships.	0%	1%	6%	37%	56%	245 4.46	0.70
12. The principal was prepared to offer positive and constructive feedback to personnel.	1%	4%	7%	48%	41%	246 4.23	0.82
14. The principal was prepared to support positive relationships with families.	1%	1%	4%	42%	52%	244 4.44	0.69
15. The principal was prepared to support positive relationships with community members.	1%	1%	4%	44%	50%	245 4.41	0.69
16. The principal was prepared to collaborate with families to enhance the culture of learning.	0%	2%	5%	48%	44%	246 4.34	0.71
17. The principal was prepared to build partnerships with community members.	1%	2%	10%	48%	39%	246 4.24	0.76
18. The principal was prepared to identify key stakeholders in the community.	1%	2%	13%	44%	40%	245 4.20	0.81
19. The principal was prepared to facilitate community support networks to impact student learning.	1%	2%	13%	47%	36%	245 4.16	0.80
20. The principal was prepared to model personal and professional ethical behavior.	1%	1%	4%	31%	63%	245 4.53	0.73

Standard 5 - Innovative Leadership

21. The principal was prepared to use research and best practice to guide his or her professional growth.	0%	2%	8%	39%	50%	246	4.35	0.77
22. The principal was prepared to use research and best practice to guide professional growth for personnel.	0%	2%	9%	44%	44%	245	4.30	0.76
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
22 What								
23. What overall rating would you give the quality of the administrator preparation program your principal completed?	0%	3%	7%	40%	50%	246	4.37	0.74
give the quality of the administrator preparation program	0% Ineffective (1)	3% Minim Effectiv	nally	40% Effective (3)	50% Highly Effective (4)	246 Total	4.37 Mean	0.74 StdDev

If you have any problems, questions, or comments about this website, please direct your concerns to: IPP Tech Support
Institute of Public Policy
University of Missouri - Columbia
ipp@missouri.edu

