Home Resources Contact Missouri Report Institutional Report Logout

Missouri's First Year Educator Survey

Missouri Report

Survey:		Beginning school year:	Ending school year:		
○ Teacher	O Teacher's Principal	<u>2023</u> →	<u>2024</u> ∨		
O Principal	Principal's Supervisor		ng school year" should be the calendar year		
O Counselor	O Counselor's Supervisor	was Fall 2020 and Spring 2021, then t would be 2021. If you are running the	t year of teaching/counseling/leadership he "beginning school year" for that report report for that year of first-year loose the "ending school year" as 2021 as		

Show Report

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 1 - Visionary Leadersh	ip					399	4.29	0.70
1. The principal was prepared to effectively communicate the vision, mission, and goals to all staff and stakeholders.	1%	2%	4%	50%	44%	399	4.35	0.68
2. The principal was prepared to lead the development of vision, mission, and goals that promote the success of all students.	1%	3%	8%	49%	40%	399	4.26	0.75
3. The principal was prepared to implement strategies to engage the school community in the school's vision, mission, and goals.	1%	4%	8%	45%	43%	399	4.26	0.80
Standard 2 - Instructional Leade	ership					399	4.26	0.69
4. The principal was prepared to establish a culture that promotes high levels of student learning.	1%	1%	9%	39%	50%	399	4.36	0.76
7. The principal was prepared to implement effective processes to identify unique strengths and needs of students.	1%	2%	7%	46%	45%	399	4.33	0.73
8. The principal was prepared to facilitate effective processes for identifying gaps between current outcomes and goals.	1%	3%	9%	46%	41%	398	4.23	0.81

9. The principal was prepared to use data and research to facilitate learning for all students.	1%	3%	11%	42%	43%	398 4.23	0.83
10. The principal was prepared to work with personnel to develop professional growth plans for improvement of student learning.	1%	4%	11%	49%	35%	398 4.14	0.82
13. The principal was prepared to guide the effective use of resources to support student learning.	1%	2%	8%	47%	41%	397 4.26	0.77
Standard 3 - Managerial Leadersh	nip					399 4.32	0.70
5. The principal was prepared to maintain a safe learning environment for the school community.	1%	1%	3%	39%	56%	399 4.48	0.69
11. The principal was prepared to facilitate effective evaluation processes.	1%	4%	13%	44%	39%	398 4.15	0.86
Standard 4 - Relational Leadershi	р					399 4.38	0.64
6. The principal was prepared to establish a culture that nurtures positive relationships.	1%	2%	5%	36%	57%	398 4.46	0.73
12. The principal was prepared to offer positive and constructive feedback to personnel.	1%	2%	8%	42%	47%	396 4.32	0.78
14. The principal was prepared to support positive relationships with families.	1%	2%	4%	37%	57%	397 4.47	0.72
15. The principal was prepared to support positive relationships with community members.	1%	1%	5%	38%	56%	396 4.46	0.71
16. The principal was prepared to collaborate with families to enhance the culture of learning.	1%	2%	7%	40%	51%	397 4.39	0.75
17. The principal was prepared to build partnerships with community members.	1%	2%	9%	43%	46%	397 4.31	0.76
18. The principal was prepared to identify key stakeholders in the community.	1%	2%	11%	41%	46%	398 4.29	0.78
19. The principal was prepared to facilitate community support networks to impact student learning.	1%	4%	13%	43%	39%	398 4.17	0.84
20. The principal was prepared to model personal and professional ethical behavior.	1%	1%	4%	30%	65%	398 4.56	0.72

Standard 5 - Innovative Leadership

21. The principal was prepared to use research and best practice to guide his or her professional growth.	1%	2%	7%	42%	49%	397	4.35	0.76
22. The principal was prepared to use research and best practice to guide professional growth for personnel.	1%	3%	8%	o 42%	46%	396	4.29	0.81
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
22 141 1 11 11								
23. What overall rating would you give the quality of the administrator preparation program your principal completed?	0%	1%	7%	38%	54%	398	4.44	0.70
give the quality of the administrator preparation program	0% Ineffective (1)	1% Minim Effectiv	nally	38% Effective (3)	54% Highly Effective (4)		4.44 Mean	0.70 StdDev

If you have any problems, questions, or comments about this website, please direct your concerns to: IPP Tech Support
Institute of Public Policy
University of Missouri - Columbia
ipp@missouri.edu

