Home Reso	urces Contact	Missouri Report	Institutional Report	Logout
Missouri's l	First Year Edu	cator Survey		
University o	f Missouri-Kan	sas City Report		
Survey:				
\bigcirc Teacher	⊖ Prin	cipal's Supervisor		
Principal	\bigcirc Cou	nselor's Supervisor		
	r O Sup	erindendent		
○ Teacher's	s Principal			
Beginning	school year:	Ending school yea	r:	
2018	~	<u>2022</u> •		
When running the	report, the "beginning sch	ool year" should be the calen	dar vear	
of that spring. (For was Fall 2020 and	example, if the first year Spring 2021, then the "be	of teaching/counseling/leade ginning school year" for that	rship	
		for that year of first-year he "ending school year" as 2	021 as	
,				
Show Report	Include Open	-Ended Questions		

* Rows are displayed if there is a minimum of 15 respondents. However, actual counts are not displayed.

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
Standard 1 - Visionary Leaders	hip					4.08	0.50
 I was prepared to effectively communicate the vision, mission, and goals to all staff and stakeholders. 	0%	0%	13%	67%	20%	4.07	0.57
5. I was prepared to lead the development of vision, mission, and goals that promote the success of all students.	0%	0%	7%	73%	20%	4.13	0.50
6. I was prepared to implement strategies to engage my school community in the school's vision, mission, and goals.	0%	0%	13%	70%	17%	4.03	0.55

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
Standard 2 - Instructional Lead	ership					4.09	0.37
 I was prepared to establish a culture that promotes high levels of student learning. 	0%	0%	7%	63%	30%	4.23	0.56
10. I was prepared to implement effective processes to identify unique strengths and needs of students.	0%	0%	10%	76%	14%	4.03	0.49
11. I was prepared to facilitate effective processes for identifying	0%	0%	10%	67%	23%	4.13	0.56

gaps between current outcomes and goals.							
 I was prepared to use data and research to facilitate learning for all students. 	0%	0%	7%	63%	30%	4.23	0.56
 I was prepared to work with personnel to develop professional growth plans for improvement of student learning. 	0%	3%	27%	57%	13%	3.8	0.70
16. I was prepared to guide the effective use of resources to support student learning.	0%	0%	7%	77%	17%	4.1	0.47
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
Standard 3 - Managerial Leaders	ship					3.93	0.62
8. I was prepared to maintain a safe learning environment for the school community.	0%	3%	10%	63%	23%	4.07	0.68
14. I was prepared to facilitate effective evaluation processes.	0%	10%	17%	57%	17%	3.8	0.83
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
Standard 4 - Relational Leaders	hip					4.17	0.48
 I was prepared to establish a ulture that nurtures positive elationships. 	0%	0%	3%	57%	40%	4.37	0.55
15. I was prepared to offer positive and constructive feedback to personnel.	0%	0%	0%	73%	27%	4.27	0.44
7. I was prepared to support ositive relationships with families.	0%	0%	3%	73%	23%	4.2	0.48
8. I was prepared to support positive relationships with community members.	0%	0%	7%	70%	23%	4.17	0.52
19. I was prepared to collaborate with families to enhance the culture of learning.	0%	0%	17%	63%	20%	4.03	0.60
20. I was prepared to build partnerships with community members.	0%	3%	23%	50%	23%	3.93	0.77
21. I was prepared to identify key stakeholders in my community.	0%	3%	10%	63%	23%	4.07	0.68
22. I was prepared to facilitate community support networks to	0%	3%	13%	60%	23%	4.03	0.71
					120/	4.43	0.50
23. I was prepared to model personal and professional ethical behavior.	0%	0%	0%	57%	43%	4.45	0.50
impact student learning. 23. I was prepared to model personal and professional ethical	0% Strongly Disagree (1)	0% Disagree (2)	0% Neutral (3)	57% Agree (4)	43% Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev

24. I was prepared to use research and best practice to guide my professional growth.	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	4.5	0.5
25. I was prepared to use research and best practice to guide professional growth for personnel.	0%	0%	0%	57%	43%	4.43	0.50
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5) Mean	StdDev
26. Now that you have nearly completed your first year as a school principal, what overall rating would you give the quality of the administrator preparation program you completed?	0%	0%	3%	63%	33%	4.3	0.53
Question / Standard	No Mentorship Experience (1)	Me	ouri AMP entor ined (2)	Distric Provided Mentor	Peer Supe	1y Immed rvisor / Ev s My Ment	/aluator
27. Did you have a mentor this year?	20%	13	3%	37%		30%	
Question / Standard	Never (10)	Once or tw (11)	vice 1	three to five (12)	times S	ix or more (13)	
28. How often did you meet with your mentor this school year (either formally or informally)?	0%	4%		17%		79%	
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	e Neutr (3)	al Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
29. The mentoring process is non- evaluative.	0%	0%	4%	50%	46%	4.42	0.57
30. The support I received from my mentor has helped me improve my practice.	0%	0%	8%	33%	58%	4.5	0.65
31. My mentor provided me with the resources I needed to improve my practice.	0%	0%	13%	33%	54%	4.42	0.70
32. My mentor provided me with effective support.	0%	0%	13%	33%	54%	4.42	0.70
33. I was prepared to reflect on feedback from my mentor.	0%	4%	8%	29%	58%	4.42	0.81
	Essay Text					chool R 'ear	esponse ID
34. What are some strengths of	the Education	al Leaders	ship prog	ıram?			
The material presented in our classe	es was ahead of	what was	happening	g in schools.	2	2018	13286
The site visits are excellent. We visi observations. This was very helpful.		d meet wit	h teacher:	s over our		2018	13304

contact with professors and others in the program; provided strong understanding of the
ISLC standards201813311Convince and professors who has actual experience.201813315Focus on urban school leadership.201813356

18359

Instructors were knowledgeable and helped me understand the art of educational leadership; the opportunities provided to observe and intern were very helpful 2019

The work in the program was practical and relevant to my work in urban schools.	2019	18377
Most of the professors were well versed in the field and provided insite to what was coming.	2019	23949
Working in a cohort on case studies, readings, projects and assignments.	2020	29314
Cohort design allows for critical friends and feedback	2020	29346
It is paired with the learning I received during my residency at NEHS.	2020	29403
It was discussion and problem solving based.	2020	29434
An opportunity to speak with people implementing the work.	2020	29471
School Culture, Development of Vision and Mission, Instructional Leadership	2021	34433
The cohorts we were placed in led to opportunities for networking in the community and growth among colleagues.	2021	34460
Stressed the importance of building a community of learners - involving ALL stakeholders	2021	34570
The opportunity to practice real-life scenarios; the collaboration between myself and my colleagues; strong facilitation from my professors and coaches; the program was tailored for urban education , so everything felt applicable	2022	39194
It covered a variety of topics and gave me familiarity with all standards needed to be an effective administrator.	2022	39204
Preparation and collaboration for working with a team.	2022	39278
It covered a lot of different areas of leadership	2022	39308
My Ed Leadership program was in conjunction with KCPLUS, and because of that, I think I was much more prepared to be an admin than most.	2022	39319
The opportunity to collaborate with other educational professionals was extremely impactful. The various discussions and book studies about cultural diversity were so beneficial to my position.	2022	39392

Essay Text	School Year	Response ID
35. What are some areas for improvement for the Educational Leadership program?		
Need some kind of shadowing experience.	2018	13286
We really need to start discussing the opening of school during the summer, not in a late fall meeting. The timing of our meetings seems to come after we needed the information.	2018	13304
"More real world scenarios and preparation and less ""ideal"" scenarios"	2018	13315
Less educational theory. More of a focus on daily practices.	2018	13356
More information in Trauma Senstitive Schools and SPED.	2019	18377
I believe that there were a few of the professors who were out of touch with public education and struggled to understand what was currently going on in school. They did focus on pushing their own agendas.	2019	23949
More emphasis on teacher/staff evaluation and strategies to retain staff/teachers.	2020	29314
Work around budget and practical experience rather than just theory.	2020	29343
leadership is important - but managerial skills (budgeting and finance) should also be addressed.	2020	29346
Making sure that aspiring principals are working in schools WHILE they earn their degree - you learn much more on the job!	2020	29403
There was very little preparation for the budgeting process.	2020	29434
More and more opportunities for real world learning with examples from people doing the work in real life.	2020	29471
The School Law course needs to be more relevant: The course on School Improvement Plans needs to align with what a school improvement plan will actually entail, There needs to be a	2021	34433

course on basic building requirements (attendance, truancy, operations, court documents)

I felt there was very little training for budgets, title budgets, etc. (monetary aspect of leadership)	2021	34570
"More school-site visits between cohort members so as to learn from their environments as well; I would have liked to spend the summer prior to this year talking with my cohort members specifically about what would make coming back from a pandemic different than a ""typical"" year. I think this year took a lot of us by surprise, and so as much as the professional development we received around academic rigor, observation feedback, setting growth goals, etc. was helpful, it would have been even more helpful to think about the start of this year through the lens of what just happened these last two years for students - what does that make us think about how we want to start the first week, two weeks, six weeks of school"	2022	39194
An increased focus on addressing student behavior, parent behavior, mental health needs in the school and in the students, serving as an LEA for special education, understanding special education laws	2022	39204
Help with networking and job search and interviewing.	2022	39278
Definitely need more time in educational law.	2022	39319

I did not have a course on budget and finance, and that would have been very helpful. 2022 39392

Essay Text	School Year	Response ID
36. What additional comments would you like to make with regard to your mentors your transition into school administration?	hip experi	iences and
The mentor/mentee program is the strongest part of this program.	2018	13304
Mentoring programs should be for both first year principals and assistant principals. I had mentoring as a first year AP and not principal.	2018	13315
No suggestions.	2018	13356
This was a vital part of my success.	2019	18377
No suggestions.	2019	23949
No suggestions.	2020	29314
No suggestions.	2020	29346
There was not formal introduction set up so we just had a hard time connecting due to time constraints.	2020	29434
I would prefer a more involved mentorship. However, I fully recognize the fact that mentors are often very busy which makes it hard to make the time available.	2020	29471
Fort Osage School District does a wonderful job with supporting their novice principals.	2021	34433
Having a strong supervisor/mentor makes the difference. I have felt empowered, yet supported.	2021	34570
No suggestions.	2022	39194
I am learning so much from my mentor, and I love that she is a wealth of knowledge.	2022	39278
Mentorship should come from outside of the school; if the school leader is not strong, you're only going to develop more weak leaders.	2022	39319
"I really enjoyed the ""conferencing with teachers"" training I had. I have felt very prepared to conference with teachers after evaluations. I also appreciated the preparation for talking with upset parents and community members."	2022	39392

If you have any problems, questions, or comments about this website, please direct your concerns to: IPP Tech Support Institute of Public Policy University of Missouri - Columbia <u>ipp@missouri.edu</u>