	Resources	Contact	Missouri Re	port Ins	titutional F	Report				Logou
Misso	ouri's First	Year Educa	ator Surv	ey						
lissou	uri Report									
Surve	ey:									
От	eacher	O Princip	oal's Supervi	sor						
0 P	Principal	○ Couns	elor's Super	visor						
\circ c	Counselor	○ Super	indendent							
● <u>⊤</u>	eacher's Princi	pal								
Bec	ginning schoo	l vear: Ei	nding schoo	ol year:						
_	<u>2018</u>	×	2022	~						
Show	Report									
	Report Question / Star	ndard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDe
			Disagree (1)					Total 11028		
Standa 1. The incorpo	Question / Star ard 1 - Conter teacher was pr prate interdiscip	nt Knowledge repared to	Disagree (1)						4.09	0.78
Standa 1. The incorpo instruc 2. The	Question / Star ard 1 - Conter teacher was pr prate interdiscip	nt Knowledge repared to plinary	Disagree (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Agree (5)	11028	4.09 3.96	0.73
Standa 1. The incorpo instruc 2. The or her 3. The	Question / Star ard 1 - Conter teacher was pr prate interdiscip tion. teacher was pr content area teacher was wa age students in	nt Knowledge repared to plinary repared in his as prepared	Disagree (1) e 2%	(2) 6%	(3) 12%	(4) 55%	Agree (5) 25%	11028 11021	4.09 3.96 4.19	StdDer 0.78 0.87 0.83

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 2 - Learning, Growth,	and Develo	pment				11028	3.77	0.82
5. The teacher was prepared to design lessons that include differentiated instruction.	2%	9%	15%	47%	26%	11015	3.87	0.97
6. The teacher was prepared to implement instruction based on a student's IEP.	2%	8%	20%	48%	23%	11016	3.82	0.94
 The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for English language learners. 	2%	7%	40%	34%	16%	10987	3.56	0.92

8. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for gifted learners.	2%	8%	33%	40%	18%	10986	3.64	0.92
9. The teacher was prepared to create lesson plans to engage all learners.	2%	7%	12%	51%	28%	11011	3.96	0.92
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 3 - Curriculum Implem	entation					11044	4.00	0.81
10. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons based on curriculum standards.	1%	4%	8%	54%	32%	11004	4.11	0.84
11. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons for diverse learners.	2%	7%	16%	51%	24%	11019	3.89	0.90
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 4 - Critical Thinking						11034	3.92	0.87
12. The teacher was prepared to implement a variety of instructional strategies.	2%	7%	12%	51%	28%	11029	3.97	0.92
13. The teacher was prepared to engage students in critical thinking.	2%	8%	16%	50%	25%	11022	3.89	0.93
14. The teacher was prepared to model critical thinking and problem solving.	2%	7%	15%	50%	25%	11017	3.90	0.93
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard - N/A								
15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning.	1%	4%	11%	52%	32%	11018	4.10	0.82
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 5 - Positive Classroom	Environme	ent				11044	3.99	0.86
16. The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement.	2%	6%	10%	48%	33%	11020	4.05	0.93
17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies.	4%	10%	13%	45%	27%	11023	3.82	1.06
18. The teacher was prepared to manage a variety of discipline issues.	5%	11%	15%	45%	24%	11013	3.73	1.09
19. The teacher was prepared to motivate his or her students to learn.	2%	6%	11%	50%	31%	11009	4.03	0.92

20. The teacher was prepared to keep his or her students on task.	3%	7%	12%	50%	29%	10996	3.94	0.97
21. The teacher was prepared to foster positive student relationships.	1%	3%	7%	44%	46%	11024	4.30	0.82
22. The teacher was prepared to facilitate smooth transitions for his or her students.	2%	6%	11%	50%	32%	11023	4.05	0.89
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 6 - Effective Communi	cation					11032	4.10	0.73
23. The teacher was prepared to use effective communication strategies to foster learning.	1%	5%	9%	53%	32%	11024	4.09	0.85
24. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with parents.	2%	6%	13%	51%	29%	11024	4.00	0.89
25. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with all staff.	1%	4%	11%	52%	32%	11014	4.09	0.85
26. The teacher was prepared to promote respect for diverse cultures, genders, and intellectual / physical abilities.	1%	3%	11%	52%	33%	11018	4.13	0.79
27. The teacher was prepared to use technology as a communication tool.	1%	2%	8%	53%	36%	11015	4.21	0.76
28. The teacher was prepared to enhance students' skills in using technology as a communication tool.	1%	3%	12%	53%	31%	11014	4.08	0.81
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 7 - Student Assessmer	nt and Data	Analysis				11043	3.91	0.82
29. The teacher was prepared to use assessments to evaluate learning.	2%	5%	12%	54%	28%	10990	4.02	0.85
30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning.	2%	7%	16%	53%	22%	11028	3.88	0.89
 The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 	2%	7%	17%	51%	22%	11032	3.85	0.91
32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results.	2%	8%	18%	50%	22%	11028	3.83	0.92
33. The teacher was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using assessment results.	2%	6%	15%	52%	26%	11026	3.95	0.88
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev

Question / Standard 39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in		(1) %		Yes (2) 89%			Total 6379		
39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her impact upon students?	3%	12%		53%	33%	11026	3.15	0.74	
Question / Standard	Ineffective (1)	Minim Effectiv	1	Effective (3)	Highly Effective (4)	Total	Mean	StdDev	
39. Please click on the response that best reflects your perspective about the overall quality of the professional education program your teacher completed.	1%	4%	14%	46%	34%	11013	4.08	0.88	
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev	
38c. The teacher was prepared to differentiate reading instruction for a child who is struggling to learn to read.				N	< 15				
38b. The teacher was prepared to use knowledge of vocabulary and comprehension to effectively teach reading.				N	< 15				
38a. The teacher was prepared to use knowledge of phonemic awareness, phonics, and fluency to effectively teach reading.				N	< 15				
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagre (2)			ree Strongl 4) Agree (5	· 10141	Mean	StdDev	
38. The teacher was prepared to participate in professional organizations.	1%	3%	179	% 52%	% 26%	10966	3.99	0.82	
37. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with parents to support student learning.	2%	5%	139	% 54%	% 26%	11014	3.97	0.86	
36. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with colleagues to support student learning.	2%	3%	8%	53%	% 34%	11025	4.14	0.83	
Standard 9 - Professional Collab						11037	4.03	0.77	
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	e Neut (3			Total	Mean	StdDev	
35. The teacher was prepared to reflect on his or her practices for professional growth.	2%	5%	109	% 53%	% 30%	11029	4.05	0.87	
34. The teacher was prepared to analyze data to reflect on areas for professional growth.	2%	6%	169	% 52%	% 24%	11031	3.90	0.89	

Question / Standard	Ineffective (1)	Minimally Effective (2)	Effective (3)	Highly Effective (4)	Total	Mean	StdDev
39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth?	3%	13%	54%	30%	6410	3.12	0.73
Question / Standard	No, this teacher wa not assigned mentor (1	s ment s instructo l a same sub	ner was assig or who had n ed students ir nject area(s) eacher (2)	ot assignthe inst		nentor v students	vho had
40a. Was this teacher assigned a mentor who had instructed students in the same subject area(s) as the teacher?			N < 1	15			
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	2	eutral Agre (3) (4)		Total	Mean	StdDev
40b. The first-year teacher's mentor contributed to the teacher's effectiveness during the current school year.			N < 1	15			
Question / Standard	The teacher did not attend BTAP activities (1)	I don't kno what organizatic conducted t BTAP activit (2)	A re on profe he deve	lonment (The school listrict school (4)	asso (e.g.	lucation ciation MNEA, A) (5)
41a. During the current school year, what type of organization conducted the Beginning Teacher Assistance Program (BTAP) activities that the first-year teacher attended?			N <	15			
Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	5	eutral Agre (3) (4)		Total	Mean	StdDev
41b. The Beginning Teacher Assistance Program (BTAP) activities contributed to the teacher's effectiveness during the current school year.			N < 1	15			
If you have any problems, questions, or cor IPP Tech Support Institute of Public Policy Jniversity of Missouri - Columbia <u>pp@missouri.edu</u>	nments about th	is website, please	direct your conc	erns to:	¥	Institute University of Mi	of Public Policy