Home Resources Contact Missouri Report Institutional Report Logout

Missouri's First Year Educator Survey

Missouri Report

Survey:		Beginning school ye	Ending school year:		
○ Teacher	Teacher's Principal	2022	~	<u>2023</u>	•
O Principal	O Principal's Supervisor	When running the report, the "beg of that spring. (For example, if the			
O Counselor	O Counselor's Supervisor	was Fall 2020 and Spring 2021, the would be 2021. If you are running teachers/counselors/principals only well.)	en the "b the repo	peginning school yea ort for that year of fi	ar" for that report irst-year

Show Report

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Standard 1 - Content Knowledge						2159	4.03	0.82
1. The teacher was prepared to incorporate interdisciplinary instruction.	2%	6%	15%	53%	24%	2157	3.91	0.89
2. The teacher was prepared in his or her content area	1%	5%	8%	52%	34%	2158	4.12	0.85
3. The teacher was was prepared to engage students in his or her content area.	2%	6%	10%	51%	31%	2155	4.04	0.90
4. The teacher was prepared to make content meaningful to students.	2%	6%	10%	50%	33%	2156	4.05	0.91
Standard 2 - Learning, Growth,	and Develo	pment				2159	3.72	0.86
5. The teacher was prepared to design lessons that include differentiated instruction.	3%	9%	17%	46%	25%	2158	3.81	1.00
6. The teacher was prepared to implement instruction based on a student's IEP.	2%	8%	21%	47%	21%	2158	3.77	0.96
7. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for English language learners.	3%	7%	40%	34%	16%	2153	3.54	0.93

8. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for gifted learners.	2%	8%	34%	38%	17%	2151	3.60	0.94
9. The teacher was prepared to create lesson plans to engage all learners.	2%	8%	13%	49%	27%	2153	3.91	0.96
Standard 3 - Curriculum Impleme	entation					2163	3.95	0.85
10. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons based on curriculum standards.	2%	5%	10%	52%	31%	2154	4.05	0.89
11. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons for diverse learners.	2%	7%	19%	49%	23%	2162	3.84	0.93
Standard 4 - Critical Thinking						2163	3.87	0.91
12. The teacher was prepared to implement a variety of instructional strategies.	2%	8%	13%	49%	27%	2162	3.91	0.96
13. The teacher was prepared to engage students in critical thinking.	2%	8%	18%	48%	24%	2160	3.83	0.95
14. The teacher was prepared to model critical thinking and problem solving.	2%	8%	17%	49%	25%	2160	3.86	0.95
Standard - N/A								
Standard - N/A 15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning.	2%	4%	11%	52%	32%	2160	4.08	0.85
15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student			11%	52%	32%	2160 2164		0.85
15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning.			11%	52% 47%	32% 32%		3.93	
15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning. Standard 5 - Positive Classroom I 16. The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student	Environmo	ent				2164	3.93	0.90
 15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning. Standard 5 - Positive Classroom I 16. The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom 	Environmo 2%	7 %	11%	47%	32%	2164 2157	3.93 4.01 3.74	0.90
 15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning. Standard 5 - Positive Classroom I 16. The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies. 18. The teacher was prepared to manage a variety of discipline 	Environmo 2% 5%	7% 10%	11% 16%	47% 44%	32% 25%	2164 2157 2160	3.93 4.01 3.74 3.65	0.90
 15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning. Standard 5 - Positive Classroom I 16. The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies. 18. The teacher was prepared to manage a variety of discipline issues. 19. The teacher was prepared to motivate his or her students to 	2% 5% 6%	7% 10% 12%	11% 16% 17%	47% 44% 42%	32% 25% 23%	2164 2157 2160 2159	3.93 4.01 3.74 3.65 3.96	0.90 0.96 1.10 1.13

22. The teacher was prepared to facilitate smooth transitions for his or her students.	2%	6%	13%	48%	31%	2162 4	.00	0.93
Standard 6 - Effective Communication	ation					2163 4	.06	0.76
23. The teacher was prepared to use effective communication strategies to foster learning.	2%	5%	11%	51%	31%	2161 4	.04	0.89
24. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with parents.	2%	7%	15%	49%	28%	2161 3	3.94	0.93
25. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with all staff.	2%	4%	13%	51%	30%	2159 4	1.03	0.88
26. The teacher was prepared to promote respect for diverse cultures, genders, and intellectual / physical abilities.	1%	3%	13%	51%	32%	2159 4	1.10	0.82
27. The teacher was prepared to use technology as a communication tool.	1%	3%	9%	53%	35%	2159 4	.17	0.79
28. The teacher was prepared to enhance students' skills in using technology as a communication tool.	1%	4%	12%	53%	30%	2157 4	.06	0.83
Standard 7 - Student Assessment	and Data	Analysis				2162 3	3.86	0.86
29. The teacher was prepared to use assessments to evaluate learning.	2%	5%	14%	52%	27%	2152 3	3.97	0.90
use assessments to evaluate	2% 2%	5% 7%	14% 19%	52% 51%	27% 22%	2152 3 2158 3		0.90
use assessments to evaluate learning. 30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate							3.83	
use assessments to evaluate learning. 30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to	2%	7%	19%	51%	22%	2158 3	3.83 3.80	0.92
use assessments to evaluate learning. 30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals	2%	7% 8%	19% 19%	51% 49%	22% 22%	2158 3 2159 3	3.83 3.80 3.79	0.92
use assessments to evaluate learning. 30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results. 33. The teacher was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using assessment	2% 2% 2%	7% 8% 8%	19% 19% 20%	51% 49% 49%	22% 22% 21%	2158 3 2159 3 2158 3	3.83 3.80 3.79 3.90	0.92 0.94 0.94
use assessments to evaluate learning. 30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results. 33. The teacher was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using assessment results.	2% 2% 2%	7% 8% 8%	19% 19% 20%	51% 49% 49%	22% 22% 21%	2158 3 2159 3 2158 3	3.83 3.80 3.79 3.90	0.92 0.94 0.94

Standard 9 - Professional Collab	oration					2160	3.98	0.80
36. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with colleagues to support student learning.	2%	4%	9%	52%	33%	2155	4.10	0.86
37. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with parents to support student learning.	2%	5%	16%	52%	24%	2158	3.92	0.89
38. The teacher was prepared to participate in professional organizations.	2%	3%	20%	50%	25%	2150	3.93	0.85
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Total	Mean	StdDev
39. Please click on the response that best reflects your perspective about the overall quality of the professional education program your teacher completed.	2%	5%	15%	45%	33%	2152	4.02	0.92
Question / Standard	Ineffective (1)	Minim Effectiv		Effective (3)	Highly Effective (4)	Total	Mean	StdDev
Question / Standard 39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her impact upon students?			/e (2)				Mean 3.11	StdDev 0.76
39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate	(1)	Effective 139	/e (2)	(3)	31%			0.76
39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her impact upon students?	4%	139 (1)	/e (2)	52%	31% (2)		3.11	0.76
39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her impact upon students? Question / Standard 39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in	(1) 4% No	139 (1)	ve (2)	(3) 52% Yes	31% (2)	2160	3.11	0.76



