Home Resources Contact Missouri Report Institutional Report Logout

Missouri's First Year Educator Survey

University of Missouri-Kansas City Report

Survey:		Beginning school year:	Ending school year:
○ Teacher	Teacher's Principal	2022	2023
O Principal	O Principal's Supervisor	When running the report, the "beginning of the common of t	
O Counselor	O Counselor's Supervisor	of that spring. (For example, if the first ye was Fall 2020 and Spring 2021, then the would be 2021. If you are running the repteachers/counselors/principals only, choosell.)	'beginning school year" for that report port for that year of first-year
Show Report	✓ Include Open-Ended Quest	ions	

^{*} Rows are displayed if there is a minimum of 15 respondents. However, actual counts are not displayed.

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
Standard 1 - Content Knowledge	е					4.37	0.56
1. The teacher was prepared to incorporate interdisciplinary instruction.	0%	0%	10%	48%	43%	4.33	0.64
2. The teacher was prepared in his or her content area	0%	0%	0%	57%	43%	4.43	0.49
3. The teacher was was prepared to engage students in his or her content area.	0%	0%	10%	48%	43%	4.33	0.64
4. The teacher was prepared to make content meaningful to students.	0%	0%	5%	52%	43%	4.38	0.58
Standard 2 - Learning, Growth,	and Developm	ent				4.04	0.76
5. The teacher was prepared to design lessons that include differentiated instruction.	0%	5%	24%	29%	43%	4.10	0.92
6. The teacher was prepared to implement instruction based on a student's IEP.	0%	0%	29%	38%	33%	4.05	0.79
7. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for English language learners.	0%	0%	38%	29%	33%	3.95	0.84

8. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for gifted learners.	0%	0%	38%	29%	33%	3.95	0.84
9. The teacher was prepared to create lesson plans to engage all learners.	0%	0%	19%	48%	33%	4.14	0.71
Standard 3 - Curriculum Impleme	ntation					4.27	0.58
10. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons based on curriculum standards.	0%	0%	0%	57%	43%	4.43	0.49
11. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons for diverse learners.	0%	0%	27%	36%	36%	4.09	0.79
Standard 4 - Critical Thinking						4.24	0.64
12. The teacher was prepared to implement a variety of instructional strategies.	0%	0%	14%	50%	36%	4.23	0.67
13. The teacher was prepared to engage students in critical thinking.	0%	5%	5%	55%	36%	4.23	0.73
14. The teacher was prepared to model critical thinking and problem solving.	0%	0%	9%	55%	36%	4.27	0.62
Standard - N/A							
15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning.	0%	0%	9%	55%	36%	4.27	0.62
Standard 5 - Positive Classroom E							
	nvironment	:				4.12	0.72
 The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 	0%	0%	9%	55%	36%	4.12	0.72
create a classroom environment that encourages student			9% 27%	55% 32%	36% 32%		
create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom	0%	0%				4.27	0.62
create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies. 18. The teacher was prepared to manage a variety of discipline	0% 5%	0% 5%	27%	32%	32%	4.27 3.82	1.07
create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement. 17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies. 18. The teacher was prepared to manage a variety of discipline issues. 19. The teacher was prepared to motivate his or her students to	0% 5% 5%	0% 5% 9%	27% 27%	32% 27%	32% 32%	4.27 3.82 3.73	0.62 1.07 1.14

22. The teacher was prepared to facilitate smooth transitions for his or her students.	0%	5%	5%	50%	41%	4.27	0.75
Standard 6 - Effective Communica	tion					4.27	0.63
23. The teacher was prepared to use effective communication strategies to foster learning.	0%	0%	9%	55%	36%	4.27	0.62
24. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with parents.	5%	0%	5%	50%	41%	4.23	0.90
25. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with all staff.	0%	0%	5%	55%	41%	4.36	0.57
26. The teacher was prepared to promote respect for diverse cultures, genders, and intellectual / physical abilities.	0%	0%	5%	55%	41%	4.36	0.57
27. The teacher was prepared to use technology as a communication tool.	5%	0%	5%	55%	36%	4.18	0.89
28. The teacher was prepared to enhance students' skills in using technology as a communication tool.	0%	5%	9%	50%	36%	4.18	0.78
Standard 7 - Student Assessment	and Data A	Analysis				4.15	0.67
29. The teacher was prepared to use assessments to evaluate learning.	0%	0%	23%	45%	32%	4.09	0.73
20. The teacher was prepared to							
The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning.	0%	0%	36%	32%	32%	3.95	0.82
develop assessments to evaluate	0%	0%	36% 18%	32% 45%	32% 36%	3.95 4.18	0.82
develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to							
develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals	0%	0%	18%	45%	36%	4.18	0.72
develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results. 33. The teacher was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using assessment	0% 0%	0% 0%	18% 14%	45% 50%	36% 36%	4.18 4.23	0.72
develop assessments to evaluate learning. 31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction. 32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results. 33. The teacher was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using assessment results.	0% 0%	0% 0%	18% 14%	45% 50%	36% 36%	4.18 4.23 4.27	0.72 0.67 0.62

Standard 9 - Professional Collab	oration					4.24	0.59
36. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with colleagues to support student learning.	0%	0%	5%	55%	41%	4.36	0.57
37. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with parents to support student learning.	0%	0%	14%	50%	36%	4.23	0.67
38. The teacher was prepared to participate in professional organizations.	0%	0%	19%	52%	29%	4.10	0.68
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Mean	StdDev
39. Please click on the response that best reflects your perspective about the overall quality of the professional education program your teacher completed.	0%	0%	14%	52%	33%	4.19	0.66
Question / Standard	Ineffective (1)	Minim Effective		Effective (3)	Highly Effective (4)	Mean	StdDev
39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her impact upon students?	0%	18%	ס	50%	32%	3.14	0.69
Question / Standard		No (1)			Yes (2)		
Question / Standard 39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?		No (1)			Yes (2)		
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in	Ineffective (1)			Effective (3)		Mean	StdDev
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?		5%	e (2)		95% Highly		StdDev 0.69
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified? Question / Standard 39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the	(1)	5% Minima Effective 18%	e (2)	(3)	95% Highly Effective (4)	Mean 3.14	
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified? Question / Standard 39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the	0% Essay Text	5% Minima Effective 18%	e (2)	50%	95% Highly Effective (4) 32% Scho	Mean 3.14 pol Rear	0.69 esponse ID
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified? Question / Standard 39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth? 40. What has been the most difference in the subject of the subject of the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth?	0% Essay Text	5% Minima Effective 18%	e (2)	50%	95% Highly Effective (4) 32% Scho	Mean 3.14 pol Rear	0.69 esponse ID
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified? Question / Standard 39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth? 40. What has been the most differences of students?	0% Essay Text Ficult classroot	5% Minima Effective 18%	ge your t	50%	95% Highly Effective (4) 32% Scho Yea ed when strivion 20	Mean 3.14 pol Rear ng to m	0.69 esponse ID eet the

This individual is a natural teacher and has engulfed the profession wanting to consistently learn and grow. She has brought great collaboration and positivity to our school team.	2022	42690
No suggestions.	2022	42925
Collaboration- speaking up and having input	2022	43000
Classroom management	2022	43105
Just being a new teacher.	2022	43237
No suggestions.	2022	43356
Behavior management and student gaps with science	2022	43410
Time management	2022	43470
Classroom Management	2022	43493
This individual faced many challenges as the school rolled out a new program and many adjustments had to be made throughout the year.	2022	43618
Implementing effective consequences for classroom management issues.	2022	43622
Classroom Management	2022	43743
Consistent routines for older stuents	2022	43764
Students with multiple needs based on diversity, trauma and behaviors.	2022	43798
Just being a new teacher.	2022	43816
Classroom management.	2022	43858
Essay Text	School	_
	Year	Response ID
41. What is the single most important area that teacher preparation programs sh		ĪD
41. What is the single most important area that teacher preparation programs sh I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great!		ĪD
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has	ould streng	ID then?
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great!	ould streng	then? 41874
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great! Classroom managament strategies.	2022 2022	then? 41874 42532
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great! Classroom managament strategies. classroom management	2022 2022 2022 2022	41874 42532 42624
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great! Classroom managament strategies. classroom management Management	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022	41874 42532 42624 42649
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great! Classroom managament strategies. classroom management Management She has demonstrated that her program prepared her for teaching!!	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022	41874 42532 42624 42649 42690
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great! Classroom managament strategies. classroom management Management She has demonstrated that her program prepared her for teaching!! Real Life Scenarios with Parents	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022	41874 42532 42624 42649 42690 42925
I think a lot of teacher preparation comes from learned experience. This teacher has done great! Classroom management strategies. classroom management Management She has demonstrated that her program prepared her for teaching!! Real Life Scenarios with Parents Science of reading- teachers need to know more about teaching reading Learning adequate classroom management strategies and skills to communicate with	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 202	41874 42532 42624 42649 42690 42925 43000

Relationship building and using assessment data to drive instruction	2022	43410
Teaching the science of reading	2022	43470
Classroom Management	2022	43493
This individual has the skills of a master teacher.	2022	43618
Classroom management strategies for diverse learners	2022	43622
Classroom Management	2022	43743
Routines and expectations	2022	43764
Working with students in crisis.	2022	43798
Importance of setting clear expectations and procedures with scholars from day one.	2022	43816
Classroom managment.	2022	43858

If you have any problems, questions, or comments about this website, please direct your concerns to: IPP Tech Support
Institute of Public Policy
University of Missouri - Columbia
ipp@missouri.edu

